


Pressing Issues to be solved

1. **What** do we want to know? | **Key research questions** (Challenge Leader)
 2. **How** are we going to find answers? | **Scenarios and other tools** (GFZ)
 3. **Who** is the right person to take part in this? | **Stakeholders** (WP1)
- 

Outline: Friday 13th Jan

Three Challenges of ESPREssO:

Split into 3 groups, one for each of the 3 ESPREssO challenges, each led by the Challenge Report Leaders who will take notes and report back in final session.

- a) Challenge 1: CCA and DRR: **D. Amaratunga**
- b) Challenge 2: Science vs Legal/ Policy interface: **K. Cedervall Laut**
- c) Challenge 3: National Regulations for Trans-Boundary crises: **G. Grandjean**

One member from GFZ will attend each group and discuss choice of scenario to use in exploring each Challenge in the Think Tanks

45 min Workshop Plan

All participants to:

- 1) Discuss and decide on 5 **key research questions** related to their Espresso challenge group, to help us focus our discussions in Brussels and to direct dialogue with Stakeholders (20 min)
- 2) **Scenario discussion** - GFZ reps to discuss within the groups choice of scenario (15 min)
- 3) Decide on specific stakeholders wherever possible (also those that haven't yet been added to the sign up survey) (10 min)

This is a trial run for Brussels, in terms of logistics and splitting the audience into 3 groups. However, project partners are to contribute as project partners, not “pretend” stakeholders. It is important that your expectations for Brussels (and stakeholder participation) be recorded here.

Agenda for May 4

1. **Welcome and Introduction** to ESPREssO Project | Film
2. **(Work Plan Brief Updates** to set the scene/aims for each work package:
(Each Work Plan leader 5 -10 mins x 6) max. 1 hour including discussion)
 - potentially in an interview / TV format
 - Show workflow through WP 2, 3 and 4 (and finally 5 in terms of guidelines)
 - link presentations in a way highlighting how they feed into each other
1. Stakeholder Engagement Process explained (ETHZ):
Introducing interview process and questionnaire Survey (300+): “Understanding stakeholders’ needs, perspectives and opinions”.
2. Preparation & introduction of Think Tanks later in the year: what’s expected.
- 3. Identifying priorities for innovation in research and practice: 3 break out groups, one for each challenge to discuss the answers to questions set in Copenhagen by the project consortium ***
4. Discussion (All): 3 ESPREssO challenges
5. Project publicity/awareness raising and wider engagement.

Discussion | Questions | Challenges

Follow Up:

- Skype Conference(s) within Challenge Group with clear set objectives to finalize today`s results
- Stakeholders identified with contact from partner but NOT reported to WP1 yet: ADD!
- Action Plan to prepare SF / AMRA to coordinate
- Present preliminary findings of WP2 at SF

Example Research Questions

- 1) How is climate change adaptation currently integrated in the existing national and subnational DRR plans and actions in your country?
- 2) What are in your opinion examples of good practices of integration and/or synergy of CCA and DRR in your country?
- 3) What barriers exist in terms of synergizing CCA with DRR? (e.g. language, borders, scale, science-policy dialogue) How might these be overcome?
- 4) Is there a difference between PERCEPTIONS and ACTUAL Climate Change issues and adaptation measures and similarly with Disaster Risk Reduction? i.e. is what stakeholders BELIEVE to be happening, actually correct?
- 5) Can the science community help alleviate differences in perception and reality? Are the policies being made, suitable for current situations?
- 6) Is there a gap between strategic-level policy-making and local-level implementation/ actions? If so, how big is this gap? (Examples)
- 7) Are regional stakeholders a) properly aware of the issues, and b) able to adapt?
- 8) Reverse scale issues- what can we learn from the local/ regional level and feed UP to policy makers?
- 9) Who should be prioritized- the policy maker or practitioner?

Stakeholders: notes

Engaging with different levels of Stakeholders will yield more objective results

THAT is what will lead to identification of interesting synergies between CCA/ DRR.
(SIM)

They will provide a self-checking/ feedback mechanism e.g. on the impacts of actions taken by other sectors, intra-sectoral relationships and communication Strategies, and policies that might not translate to the local level.

Stakeholders will be more likely to be pro-active if you are highlighting their work as examples of good practice. Raise their profile and they gain something.

Agenda for this morning follows the discussion from yesterday:

- 1) We decide what questions we want answered
- 2) Select best scenarios to explore the challenge
- 3) We collect stakeholders either via survey or email, or discussions

Stakeholder Forum

Change of Date! (Doodle: check the events map, check availability of Dennis Peters and advisory board)

- Agenda Point 2 (presentation of WPs)
 - Show workflow through WP 2, 3 and 4 (and finally 5 in terms of guidelines)
 - link presentations in a way highlighting how they feed into each other
 - Very straightforward way of communicating the vision of the project and the value of stakeholders to get there
- **Goal of Stakeholder engagement:**
Identify gaps at SF1, TTs will try to fill that gap, evaluation at SF2

Preparing the SF

Engaging Stakeholders

- Recommendation letter from EC
- Prominent Keynote Speaker (Commissioner of DG ECHO?)
→ Dennis Peter to recommend / contact
- Potential of honorarium?

Material to prepare for SF:

- (brief!) briefing paper (based on today`s results, challenge reports, Del. 2.1)
→ Deadline April 15th
(WP1 to prepare template, challenge leaders to prepare, WP1 to coordinate, DKKV to produce)
- Examples of one to two real-world past events per challenge
(to be identified by working groups on briefing papers)
- Flyer on the engagement process (rather visualizing, not much text)
- Booth for ADB-testing: poster to explain (WP4 to write, DKKV to produce)
- not too much in one day! Test whether this is feasible

How to prepare SF

- Skype Conference(s) within Challenge Groups with clear set objectives to finalize today`s results → also preparation of briefing papers
- Stakeholder lists: those identified with contact from partner but NOT reported to WP1 yet →ADD!

→ Action Plan to prepare SF / AMRA to coordinate